

OXFORD

INTERNATIONAL  
AQA EXAMINATIONS

# INTERNATIONAL A-LEVEL

## FURTHER MATHEMATICS

(9665)

### **Mark scheme**

---

Further statistics Unit 2

Specimen

Principal Examiners have prepared these mark schemes for specimen papers. These mark schemes have not, therefore, been through the normal process of standardising that would take place for live papers.

## Key to mark scheme abbreviations

<b>M</b>	Mark is for method
<b>m</b>	Mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
<b>A</b>	Mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
<b>B</b>	Mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
<b>E</b>	Mark is for explanation
<b>ft</b>	Follow through from previous incorrect result
<b>CAO</b>	Correct answer only
<b>AWFW</b>	Anything which falls within
<b>AWRT</b>	Anything which rounds to
<b>ACF</b>	Any correct form
<b>AG</b>	Answer given
<b>SC</b>	Special case
<b>oe</b>	Or equivalent
<b>A2, 1</b>	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
<b>-x EE</b>	Deduct $x$ marks for each error
<b>NMS</b>	No method shown
<b>PI</b>	Possibly implied
<b>SCA</b>	Substantially correct approach
<b>sf</b>	Significant figure(s)
<b>dp</b>	Decimal place(s)

### **No method shown**

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

**Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.**

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments																								
1	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><math>O_i</math></td> <td><math>E_i</math></td> <td><math>( O_i - E_i  - 0.5)</math> <math>(\alpha)</math></td> <td><math>\frac{\alpha^2}{E_i}</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>24</td> <td>28</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>0.4375</td> </tr> <tr> <td>56</td> <td>52</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>0.2356</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11</td> <td>7</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>1.7500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>13</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>0.9423</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.3654</td> </tr> </table>	$O_i$	$E_i$	$( O_i - E_i  - 0.5)$ $(\alpha)$	$\frac{\alpha^2}{E_i}$	24	28	3.5	0.4375	56	52	3.5	0.2356	11	7	3.5	1.7500	9	13	3.5	0.9423				3.3654	M1		$E$ attempted
	$O_i$	$E_i$	$( O_i - E_i  - 0.5)$ $(\alpha)$	$\frac{\alpha^2}{E_i}$																								
	24	28	3.5	0.4375																								
	56	52	3.5	0.2356																								
	11	7	3.5	1.7500																								
	9	13	3.5	0.9423																								
				3.3654																								
			M1		Yates' correction attempted																							
			M1		$\chi^2$ attempted																							
			A1		AWFW 3.36 to 3.37																							
	$H_0$ : No association between drug and prevention of sickness	B1		(at least $H_0$ stated correctly)																								
	$H_1$ : Association between drug and prevention of sickness																											
	$\chi_{5\%}^2 = 3.841$	B1		CAO																								
	Accept $H_0$	A1ft																										
	No evidence at the 5% level of significance to support the claim that the drug is effective against sickness.	E1ft	8																									
		<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>																									

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments
2 (a)	Sample mean = 380.8	B1		CAO
	$s = 4.38$ or $s^2 = 19.2$	B1		AWRT
	$t_4 = 2.132$	B1		AWRT 2.13
	C.I. = $380.8 \pm 2.132 \times \frac{4.38}{\sqrt{5}}$ or $\sqrt{(19.2/5)}$	M1		Use of their $4.38/\sqrt{5}$ or $\sqrt{(19.2/5)}$
	$\sqrt{5}$	m1		Rest of formula (using $t_4$ or $t_5$ (2.015))
	= (377, 385)	A1		AWRT
			6	
(b)	3	B1	1	CAO
		<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments
3	<p><math>H_0: \mu_B = \mu_G</math>  <math>H_1: \mu_B \neq \mu_G</math></p> <p>SL <math>\alpha = 0.05</math> (5%)</p> <p>CV <math>z = (\pm)\underline{1.96}</math></p> $z = \frac{ \bar{b} - \bar{g} }{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_B^2}{n_B} + \frac{\sigma_G^2}{n_G}}} = \frac{ 21.35 - 21.90 }{\sqrt{\frac{0.5625}{20} + \frac{0.9025}{15}}}$ <p style="text-align: right;"><math>= (\pm)\underline{1.85}</math></p> <p><b>Evidence</b>, at 5% level, that <math>\mu_B = \mu_G</math>  <b>or</b>  <b>No evidence</b>, at 5% level, that <math>\mu_B \neq \mu_G</math></p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1ft</p>	<p>6</p> <p>6</p>	<p>At least <math>H_1</math>; allow suffices of 1 &amp; 2 or X &amp; Y, etc</p> <p>AWRT (1.9600)</p> <p>Numerator</p> <p>Denominator</p> <p>Dependent on at least M1 M0</p> <p>AWRT (1.8510)</p> <p>Ignore sign (<math>p</math>-value = 0.0642)</p> <p>ft on CV &amp; <math>z</math>-value; consistent signs</p> <p>Definitive conclusion <math>\Rightarrow</math> AF0</p> <p>ft on 5% &amp; <math>p</math>-value; consistent areas</p>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments
4	95% $\Rightarrow z = 1.96$	B1		CAO (AWRT from calculator)
	Require $2 \times \frac{1.96\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 0.2\mu$	M1		Used; may be implied Allow 'no 2 x' Allow '= sign' throughout
	Thus $2 \times \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \times \frac{\mu}{2} \leq 0.2\mu$	M1		Use of $\sigma = \frac{\mu}{2}$ ; may be implied Allow 'no 2 x'
	Thus $\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{1.96}{0.2}$	M1		Attempt at solution for $\sqrt{n}$ or $n$
	Thus $n \geq 96.04$			
Thus, to nearest 10; $n = 100$	A1	5	CAO	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments	
<b>5(a)</b>	$H_0 : \sigma^2 = 225 \quad H_1 : \sigma^2 \neq 225$	B1		Both	
	$v = 15 - 1 = 14$	B1			
	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \chi_{14}^2(0.025) = 5.629 \\ \chi_{14}^2(0.975) = 26.119 \end{array} \right\}$	B1		Both; or $F(\infty, 14) = 2.487$	
	$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{14 \times 9.1^2}{225} = 5.15$	M1 A1		$F_{\text{calc}} = \frac{225}{9.1^2} = 2.72$	
	$5.15 < 5.629 \Rightarrow \text{Reject } H_0$ Evidence to suggest that variance is not 225	A1ft	6	$2.72 > 2.487 \Rightarrow \text{Reject } H_0$	
<b>(b)</b>	$H_0 : \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_G^2 \quad H_1 : \sigma_B^2 \neq \sigma_G^2$	B1		Both	
	$\left. \begin{array}{l} s_B^2 = 70.567 \\ s_G^2 = 14.25 \end{array} \right\}$	B1			
	$F_{\text{calc}} = \frac{70.567}{14.25} = 4.95$	M1 A1ft			
	$v_1 = 5 \quad v_2 = 3$	B1			
	$F_{5,3} = 14.88$	B1			
	$4.952 < 14.88$ $\Rightarrow \text{Accept } H_0$ Variances are equal	A1ft			7
		<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>		

Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>6</b>				
<b>(a)(i)</b>	$E(F) = 128 + 112 = \mathbf{240}$	B1	<b>1</b>	CAO
<b>(ii)</b>	$Cov(X, Y) = -0.4 \times \sqrt{50 \times 50} = \mathbf{-20}$	M1		Used; or equivalent
	$Var(F) = 50 + 50 + (2 \times -20) = \mathbf{60}$	M1 A1	<b>3</b>	$V(X) + V(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)$ used CAO; <b>AG</b>
<b>(b)(i)</b>	$E(T) = 240 + 75 = \mathbf{315}$	B1ft		ft on <b>(a)(i)</b>
	$Var(T) = 60 + 36 = \mathbf{96}$	B1	<b>2</b>	CAO
<b>(ii)</b>	$E(M) = 240 - (3 \times 75) = \mathbf{15}$	B1ft		ft on <b>(a)(i)</b>
	$Var(M) = 60 + \{(-3^2) \times 36\}$ $= 60 + 324 = \mathbf{384}$	M1 A1	<b>3</b>	$V(F) + 3^2V(S)$ used CAO
<b>(c)</b>	$P(T > 300) = P\left(Z > \frac{300 - 315}{\sqrt{96}}\right)$	M1		Standardising 300 or 300.5 using <b>(b)(i)</b>
	$= P(Z > -1.53) = P(Z < 1.53)$	m1		Area change
	$= \mathbf{0.936 \text{ to } 0.938}$	A1	<b>3</b>	AWFW
	<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	



Q	Answer	Marks	Total	Comments
7(a) (i)	$M_Z(t) = E(e^{tz}) = \int e^{tz} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz$ $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z^2 - 2tz)} dz = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^2} dz$ $= e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \quad \text{where } u = z - t$ $= e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2} \times 1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2}$	M1  m1  m1  A1		Ignore limits  Completing square  Removing constant term and substitution  Fully correct derivation
(ii)	$M_X(t) = M_{\mu+\sigma z}(t) = e^{\mu t} M_Z(\sigma t)$ $= e^{\mu t} \times e^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma t)^2} = e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$	M1  A1		Substitution and two terms  Fully correct deduction
(iii)	$\text{Mean} = M'_X(0) = \left[ (\mu + \sigma^2 t) e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2} \right]_{t=0} = \mu$ $M''_X(0) = \left[ (\sigma^2) e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2} + (\mu + \sigma^2 t)^2 e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2} \right]_{t=0}$ $= \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ $\text{Variance} = M''_X(0) - (\text{mean})^2$ $= \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \mu^2 = \sigma^2$	M1 A1  M1  A1  B1		Attempt at first derivative Correct evaluation at $t = 0$  Attempt at second derivative  Correct evaluation at $t = 0$  CAO
(b) (i)	$M_{\bar{X}}(t) = M_{\sum X_i} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)$ <p>Using product of mgf's</p>	B1  M1		Catering for $1/n$

	$= \left( e^{\mu \frac{t}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \left( \frac{t}{n} \right)^2} \right)^n$ $= e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} t^2}$	m1 A1		Attempted application Fully correct deduction
			<b>4</b>	
(ii)	$\bar{X}$ has normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$	Bdep1  Bdep1		Normal and $\mu$ ; dependent on (b)(i)  Dependent on (b)(i)
			<b>2</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	

Q	Answer	Mark	Total	Comment
<b>8(a)</b>	$E(\bar{X}_1) = \frac{n_1 \mu}{n_1} = \mu$ and $E(\bar{X}_2) = \frac{n_2 \mu}{n_2} = \mu$ $E(k\bar{X}_1 + (1-k)\bar{X}_2) = kE(\bar{X}_1) + (1-k)E(\bar{X}_2)$ $= k\mu + (1-k)\mu = \mu$	M1 A1	2	Stated or implied.
<b>(b)</b>	$\text{Var}(k\bar{X}_1 + (1-k)\bar{X}_2)$ $= k^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}_1) + (1-k)^2 \text{Var}(\bar{X}_2)$ $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n_1}$ and $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n_2}$ (OE) $\Rightarrow V = k^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{n_1} + (1-k)^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{n_2}$ (AG)	M1  A1	2	Stated or implied.
<b>(c)</b>	$\frac{dV}{dk} = \sigma^2 \left\{ \frac{2k}{n_1} - \frac{2(1-k)}{n_2} \right\}$ $\frac{k}{n_1} - \frac{(1-k)}{n_2} = 0 \Rightarrow k = \frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2}$	M1A1 A1	3	Using $n_1 = n_2 = n$ from the start $\Rightarrow$ M0.
<b>(d)(i)</b>	$k\bar{X}_1 + (1-k)\bar{X}_2 = \frac{n_1\bar{X}_1 + n_2\bar{X}_2}{n_1+n_2}$ (OE)	M1A1ft	2	F.t. on algebraic form. $\frac{1}{2}$ gets A0.
<b>(ii)</b>	This is the weighted average of means.	E1	1	Explanation in terms of proportionality, or 'pooled estimate' OK.
<b>(iii)</b>	$\frac{d^2V}{dk^2} = 2k\sigma^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right\} > 0 \Rightarrow$ minimum $V$ .	M1A1	2	No omissions.
	<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	

## GET HELP AND SUPPORT

Visit our website for information, guidance, support and resources at [oxfordaqaexams.org.uk](http://oxfordaqaexams.org.uk)

You can contact the English subject team directly at:

E: [english@oxfordaqaexams.org.uk](mailto:english@oxfordaqaexams.org.uk)



**OXFORD INTERNATIONAL AQA EXAMINATIONS**  
LINACRE HOUSE, JORDAN HILL, OXFORD, OX2 8TA  
UNITED KINGDOM  
[enquiries@oxfordaqaexams.org.uk](mailto:enquiries@oxfordaqaexams.org.uk)  
[oxfordaqaexams.org.uk](http://oxfordaqaexams.org.uk)