

INTERNATIONAL A-LEVEL SOCIOLOGY SCL3

Unit 3 People and development

Mark scheme

Specimen

Version: 1.0 Final

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from oxfordagaexams.org.uk

Copyright information

OxfordAQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for OxfordAQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: OxfordAQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

 $\label{lem:copyright} \verb§@ 2025 OxfordAQA International Examinations and its licensors. All rights reserved.$

People and development

Question	Marking guidance	Total marks
1	Define what is meant by 'indigenous peoples'.	2
	2 marks: Accurate and precise definition of indigenous peoples, including a clear characteristic, e.g. distinct social and cultural groups that share collective ancestral ties to the lands and natural resources where they live or from which they have been displaced.	AO1 = 2
	1 mark : Partial or underdeveloped definition, showing some understanding but lacking clarity or detail, e.g. the original inhabitants of a region.	
	0 marks: No relevant points.	
	Note: this indicative content is not exhaustive. Other creditworthy responses should be awarded marks as appropriate.	

Question		Marking guidance				
2	Explain some of the ways in which development can lead to demographic changes.					
	Level	Marks	Level descriptors	AO1 = 4 AO3 = 4		
	4	7-8	Comprehensive and detailed knowledge of the issue in the question, showing clear understanding of key concepts (AO1).			
			Well-developed analysis which is supported and directed to the issue in the question (AO3).			
	3	5-6	Reasonable knowledge of the issue in the question, showing some understanding of key concepts but lacking clarity of detail or depth in places (AO1).			
			Relevant analysis but not fully developed or lacking depth in places (AO3).			
	2	3-4	Some knowledge of the issue in the question, showing limited depth. May state or identify key concepts with little development (AO1).			
			Some attempt at analysis, but it may be vague or generalised (AO3).			
	1	1-2	Limited knowledge, with minimal reference to the issue in the question or understanding of key concepts (AO1).			
			Little or no analysis (AO3).			
		0	No relevant knowledge, understanding or analysis.			
	Possible answers include:					
	increased migration					
	falling birth rates					
	falling mortality rates					
			e expectancy rates			
	lower fertility ratesdependency ratio					
	smaller family sizes					
	changing age structure of the population					
	population sizethe demographic transition model.					
	Note: this indicative content is not exhaustive. Other creditworthy responses should be awarded marks as appropriate.					

Evaluate sociological explanations of the relationship between development and the environment. In your answer, use examples drawn from your society or any society you know about. Level Marks Marking criteria 4 16-20 Comprehensive and detailed knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Well-developed application and interpretation of societal examples. Clear and consistent links are made to the question and supported (AO2). Critical and sustained evaluation with strong analysis of perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3). Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative	Question	Marking guidance				
Level Marks Marking criteria	3	In your answer, use examples drawn from your society or any society you				
4 16-20 Comprehensive and detailed knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Well-developed application and interpretation of societal examples. Clear and consistent links are made to the question and supported (AO2). Critical and sustained evaluation with strong analysis of perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3). Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Limited application and interpretation of alternative						
sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Well-developed application and interpretation of societal examples. Clear and consistent links are made to the question and supported (AO2). Critical and sustained evaluation with strong analysis of perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3). Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative		Level	Marks	Marking criteria		
examples. Člear and consistent links are made to the question and supported (AO2). Critical and sustained evaluation with strong analysis of perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3). 3 11-15 Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative		4	16-20	sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives		
perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3). 3 11-15 Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				examples. Clear and consistent links are made to the question		
theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1). Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading		
societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2). Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative		3	11-15	theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most		
viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3). 2 6-10 Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				societal examples, though some points may lack depth,		
studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1). Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance		
societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2). Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative		2	6-10	studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth		
or a strong argument (AO3). 1 1-5 Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				societal examples to support points which may be brief or only		
studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1). Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative						
relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2). Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative		1	1-5			
little critical assessment or exploration of alternative				relevant societal examples to support points which may be		
perspectives (AOS).						
0 0 Nothing worthy of credit		0	0	Nothing worthy of credit		

Possible answers include:

- economic growth/industrialisation
- deforestation and desertification
- urbanisation
- population growth
- non-renewable resources
- ecological footprints
- pollution
- top down/bottom up development
- people-centred development
- climate change
- dependency
- sustainability
- neo-liberalism
- environmentalism.

Note: this indicative content is not exhaustive. Other creditworthy responses should be awarded marks as appropriate.

Question	Marking guidance			Total marks	
4	Evaluate sociological explanations of the relationship between aid and development.				
	In your answer, use examples drawn from your society or any society you know about.				
	Level	Marks	Marking criteria		
	4	16-20	Comprehensive and detailed knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1).		
			Well-developed application and interpretation of societal examples. Clear and consistent links are made to the question and supported (AO2).		
			Critical and sustained evaluation with strong analysis of perspectives and effective comparison of arguments, leading to well-reasoned and justified judgements (AO3).		
	3	11-15	Reasonable knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, covering most key ideas in good depth (AO1).		
			Relevant application and interpretation with good use of societal examples, though some points may lack depth, precision or direct links to the question (AO2).		
			Mostly developed evaluation and analysis, considering multiple viewpoints. Evaluation may show less depth or support in places, leading to judgements that may show imbalance (AO3).		
	2	6-10	Some knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives, showing some depth (AO1).		
			Some relevant application and interpretation, with some use of societal examples to support points which may be brief or only partially developed (AO2).		
			Evaluation and analysis are attempted but lack depth, balance, or a strong argument (AO3).		
	1	1-5	Limited knowledge and understanding of sociological theories, studies, concepts and/or perspectives (AO1).		
			Limited application and interpretation. Little to no use of relevant societal examples to support points which may be vague or generalised (AO2).		
			Little to no evaluation and/or analysis. Mostly descriptive, with little critical assessment or exploration of alternative perspectives (AO3).		
	0	0	Nothing worthy of credit		

Possible answers include:

- NGOs
- · the World Bank and International Monetary Fund
- · multilateral and bilateral aid
- tied aid and conditionality
- imperialism
- aid as business
- debt and structural adjustment programmes
- · emergency aid and development aid
- people-centred development
- modernisation theory
- dependency theory
- World Systems theory
- neo-liberalism.

Note: this indicative content is not exhaustive. Other creditworthy responses should be awarded marks as appropriate.